

# The Arbitral Proceeding

Franz T Schwarz



# W

# The distinctive Nature of Arbitration as a Form of Dispute Resolution...

... and its **relevance** for the **legal framework** of the arbitral **proceedings** 

- → **Distinctive feature**: flexibility
- → **Discuss**: benefits/desirability?



# The Hierarchy of Procedural Norms

- Mandatory provisions (of the lex arbitri)
- Agreement by the parties (incl. arbitral rules)
  - Reflecting pivotal importance of party autonomy in international arbitration
- Discretion of the Arbitrators
- Non-mandatory provisions (of the lex arbitri)

→ Compare: sec 594 para 1 ZPO; art 28 par 1 VR; art 19 ICC-R, art 14 LCIA-R



### The "Cross-Cultural" Dimension

- The "common law/civil law divide"
  - Background of arbitrators/parties/counsel (may) matter(s)
  - Relevance of other legal cultures (e.g. Asia)
- Growing trend towards international harmonization
  - Examples: IBA, UNCITRAL
- Standardization v Flexibility



# The Quest for the "Perfect Proceedings"

- -Input from common law tradition: e.g. one hearing to take evidence (ideally); examination of witnesses etc
- -Input from civil law tradition: e.g. significance of written submissions; toned-down version of common-law concepts (e.g. disclosure) etc
- → Increasing use of specialized international arbitration practices combining common law and civil law traditions



# Elements of typical arbitral proceedings

- Basic **logic** behind this structure
- Examples for "best possible procedural world"
- Different types of advocacy



# Core Principles of the Arbitral Proceedure: Fair and Equal Treatment

## Equality v Fairness

- Compare: art 17 I UNCITRAL-ML; sec 594 II ZPO; art 28 I VR
- Cases

#### Ratio

- Fundamental procedural "justice"
- Self-preservation of arbitration
- Interplay of substantive leeway and procedural pillars

## Safeguards

Compare: setting aside and enforcing the award



# Core Principles of the Arbitral Proceedure: Right to be heard

#### Notion and connection to fair treatment

• Compare: art 17 I UNCITRAL-ML; art 28 I (and 32) VR

## Scope

- Facts / Law iura novit curia? avoiding unfair surprise
- Cases

#### Violations

- Restrictive approach?
- Causality?
- Limitations:

## Safegurards

• Compare: art V I (b) NYC; sec 611 II no 2 ZPO



## The Seat of the Arbitration

- What "seat" does (not) mean
  - Compare: art 20 I and II UNCITRAL-ML; art 18 II and III ICC-R

- The relevance of the seat
  - Examples: lex arbitri; setting-aside procedures; court-intervention etc

# The Language of the Arbitration



# The Taking of Evidence I

- Truth-finding?
- Efficiency
  - Compare: art 20 ICC-R
- Witness Testimony
  - Written witness statements (art 4 IV IBA-R)
  - **Examination** of the witness (art 4 VII IBA-R)
    - Direct examination
    - Cross examination
    - Witness conferencing
  - Preparation of witnesses?



# The Taking of Evidence II

#### Documents

- Interplay of (sequential) submissions and documentary evidence
- **Document production** (compare art 3 IBA-R)
  - **Content** of request
  - Possible **objections**
  - Redfern Schedule



## The Taking of Evidence III

## **Experts**

## Another example for diverging legal cultures:

- The bird eye's view
- Expert of the tribunal?
- Experts of the parties?

## Examination of Experts

- Expert presentations
- Cross examination
- Witness conferencing



# Confidentiality of the arbitral proceedings

- Ratio
- Scope/Limits
  - The hearing
  - The proceedings themselves
    - Debate
    - Confidentiality agreements
    - Legal necessities (e.g. securities laws etc)
  - The award
    - Agreement by the parties
    - Other proceedings (e.g. enforcement proceedings)